

Specific heat of a Fermi system near ferromagnetic quantum phase transition

I. Grosu, D. Bodea and M. Crisan

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Cluj, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Abstract

We calculate the specific heat for an interacting Fermi system near the ferromagnetic phase transition using the Renormalization Group method. The temperature dependence of the specific heat present for dimension $D = 3$ a logarithmic dependence which shows that the fermionic excitations reaches a non - Fermi behavior. The result is in good agreement with the experimental data obtained recently for Ni_xPd_{1-x} alloys.

I. INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of the non - Fermi behavior in the heavy - fermion system has been associated with the antiferromagnetic quantum phase transition (AQPT) [1–4] and is one of the most important subject concerning the non - Fermi behavior, introduced for the explanation of the normal state of cuprate superconductors. Recently the non - Fermi behavior was experimentally discovered in the systems as $Th_{1-x}U_xCu_2Si_2$ [4] and the Ni_xPd_{1-x} alloy [5], if $x < x_c$, x_c being a critical concentration. This behavior has been also considered as a quantum phase transition (QPT), but the systems present a ferromagnetic quantum phase transition (FQPT). In this paper we will calculate the heat capacity for a model which describes the interaction between itinerant - electron and magnetic moments. The Renormalization - Group method (RG) used in previous papers [6–8] will be adopted to describe the QPT and the free energy calculated by RG will be used to show the existence of the logarithmic term ($T \ln T$) which represent the non - Fermi behavior of the fermionic excitations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the model and give the dynamic susceptibility of the system. Sec. III contain the RG scaling equations and in Sec. IV we calculate the heat capacity. The relevance of the results compared with the other models is discussed in Sec. V.

II. MODEL

The transition to the ferromagnetism due to the magnetic moments has been discussed by Doniach and Wohlfart [9] for the palladium ion. The basic idea of the model is the polarization of the itinerant - electrons by the magnetic impurity and the susceptibility was calculated as:

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{\chi_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{1 - \left[I + \frac{2J^2 R'}{JR - \omega} \right] \chi_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega)} \quad (1)$$

where $\chi_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is the susceptibility of electrons given by:

$$\chi_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \frac{n_{k\uparrow} - n_{k+q\downarrow}}{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+q} + \Delta - \omega} \quad (2)$$

Δ is the gap introduced by the electron - electron interaction I , and the electron - impurity interaction J , and was calculated as:

$$\Delta = IR + 2JR' \quad (3)$$

where

$$R = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k (n_{k\downarrow} - n_{k\uparrow}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$R' = -C < S^z > \quad (5)$$

and C is the concentration of the magnetic impurities with the spin $\mathbf{S}$.

Using the expansion:

$$\frac{\chi_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\chi(0, 0)} = 1 - Aq^2 - B \left(\frac{\omega}{q} \right)^2 + \Delta \left[D_1 \frac{\omega}{q^2} + D_2 \omega + \dots \right] + iC \frac{\omega}{q} \quad (6)$$

A, B, C, D_i ($i = 1, 2$) being constants we get for susceptibility expressed by Eq. (1) the form:

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \simeq \frac{1}{\delta + aq^2 - b \frac{\Delta \omega}{q^2} - i \frac{\omega}{\Gamma q}} \quad (7)$$

where δ is the concentration dependent parameter, given by:

$$\delta = \chi(0, 0)^{-1} - F \quad (8)$$

$$F = I + 2J \frac{R'}{R} \quad (9)$$

For $\Delta = 0$ Eq. (7) approximate the dynamic susceptibility of the excitations in the itinerant ferromagnet (see Ref. [6,7]).

III. SCALING EQUATIONS

The fluctuations of the magnetization in the critical region of the ferromagnetic state are described by the action:

$$S_{eff} = S_{eff}^{(2)} + S_{eff}^{(4)} \quad (10)$$

where

$$S_{eff}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q \chi(q)^{-1} |\phi(q)|^2 \quad (11)$$

$$S_{eff}^{(4)} = \frac{u}{4} \prod_{i=1}^4 \sum_{q_i} |\phi(q_i)|^4 \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 q_i \right) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\sum_q = VT \sum_n \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \quad (13)$$

and $q_i = (\mathbf{q}_i, \omega_{ni})$, $\omega_{ni} = 2\pi nT$ being the bosonic frequency and u the interaction, which satisfies $u > 0$. Next we consider the scaling properties of this action. When we take the scale transformation $q' = ql$ and $\omega' = \omega l^z$, the Gaussian term will be transformed as:

$$S_{eff}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q'} \left[\delta + q'^2 l^{-2} + \left(\frac{|\omega_n|}{q'} \right) \Gamma l^{1-z} + b\Delta |\omega_n| l^{z-2} \right] |\phi(q')|^2 \quad (14)$$

The fourth - order term, given by Eq. (12) is scaled as:

$$S_{eff}^{(4)} = l^{4-(d+z)} u \prod_{i=1}^4 \sum_{q'_i} \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 q'_i \right) |\phi(q'_i)|^4 \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the scaling equations should be:

$$\frac{dT(l)}{dl} = zT(l) \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{d\Delta(l)}{dl} = (4 - z)\Delta(l) \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma(l)}{dl} = (z - 3)\Gamma(l) \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{d\delta(l)}{dl} = 2\delta(l) + 2u(l)(n + 2)f_1 \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{du(l)}{dl} = [4 - (d + z)]u(l) - (n + 8)u^2(l)f_2 \quad (20)$$

The Eq.(20) shows that the quadratic term is scaled to zero for $d + z > 4$. On the other hand the existence of the ferromagnetic state is conditioned by the existence of the gap Δ and from Eq. (17) we may conclude that $z < 4$. However, from (18) we see that for $z > 3$ the quantum fluctuations are important and for $z = 3$, Γ is dangerous irrelevant. These considerations leads to the conclusion that for $d = 3$ the relation $d + z > 4$ and u indeed scales to zero.

If only the Gaussian point of action $S_{eff}^{(2)}$ is considered, the partition function is

$$Z = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta F) \equiv \int D\phi^* D\phi \exp(S)$$

where the free energy F is given by:

$$F = V \int_0^\Lambda \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^{\Gamma_k} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} n_B(\omega) \tan^{-1} \frac{\frac{\omega}{\Gamma q}}{\delta + aq^2 - b\frac{\Delta\omega}{q^2}} \quad (21)$$

$n_B(\omega)$ being the Bose function. Performing the same scaling for q and ω we obtain the equation for F :

$$\frac{dF(l)}{dl} = (d + z)F(l) + f_3(T(l), \Delta(l), \delta(l)) \quad (22)$$

Following the method developed in Ref. [7,8] we will calculate the free energy and the specific heat for the two regimes which exist when we stop the scaling. These regimes are the quantum regime ($T(l) \ll 1$) and the classical regime ($T(l) \gg 1$) and we expect an important enhancement of the behavior in the specific heat due to the quantum effects.

IV. SPECIFIC HEAT

The specific heat $C_v = -T\partial^2 F/\partial T^2$ will be calculated from the general solution of the Eq. (22).

$$F(T) = \int_0^l dx e^{-(d+z)x} f_3(Te^{zx}) \quad (23)$$

following the method used in [6,7].

The effect of the fluctuations is important in the quantum domain ($T(l) \ll 1$) and classical domain ($T(l) \gg 1$). These two domains have been studied using the flow equations (see Ref. [7]) and the basic point is to stop the renormalization procedure when the system is driven from the critical region in the region where we can perform a standard perturbative calculation. We introduce $l = l^*$ which near the critical point satisfies $T(l^*) \ll 1$ for quantum domain and $T(l^*) \gg 1$ for the classical domain. These domains are separated by a matching value l_m defined by:

$$T(l_m) = 1 \quad (24)$$

calculated from Eq. (16) as:

$$l_m = \frac{1}{z} \ln \frac{1}{T} \quad (25)$$

In this approximation we calculate the free energy from Eq. (23) as:

$$F(T) = \int_0^{1/z \ln 1/T} dx e^{-(d+z)x} f_3(Te^{zx}) + \int_{1/z \ln 1/T}^{l^*} dx e^{-(d+z)x} f_3(Te^{zx}) \quad (26)$$

Following Ref. [7,8] we approximate $f_3(T) - f_3(0) \simeq AT^2$ in the low temperature domain and $f_3(T) \simeq BT$ in the high temperature domain. The general form of the free energy given by (25) is:

$$F(T) = F_1 T^2 \ln \frac{1}{T} - F_2 T \quad (27)$$

and the specific heat obtained from Eq. (27) has the form:

$$C_v = \gamma_0 T + \gamma_1 T \ln T \quad (28)$$

In this equation the first term represent the effect of critical fluctuations in the classical domain and the second term describes the effect given by the quantum fluctuations in the proximity of the quantum critical point, where the system becomes non - Fermi in agreement also with the resistivity temperature dependence [4,5].

V. DISCUSSIONS

We will discuss the results obtained in this paper in connection with the other approaches as well as to the experimental results.

First we mention that recently the problem of QPT in a ferromagnet was extensively studied [11–14] for a pure as well as for disordered ferromagnet. The main idea of these papers is the occurrence of an effective long - range interaction between the order parameter fluctuations. In fact we mention that this conclusion is valid only at $T = 0$ and the non - analyticity is done to the fact that in [11–14] the authors integrated out all the fermionic degrees of freedom [15]. However, in this case the coupling to the electron - hole continuum is not any more possible, and the existent damping term is difficult to be explained in pure ferromagnet, at least due to this effect.

An important point is that such a non - analytic susceptibility does not respect the conservation law for the magnetization in an itinerant - electron ferromagnet. The difficulties due to the application of the RG method as well as the conjecture that for $T \neq 0$ the model becomes massive by the simplest substitution $q^{d-1} \rightarrow (q + T)^{d-1}$ determined us to use the Hertz - Millis (HM) [6–8] approach in description of the ferromagnetic quantum phase transition.

The formal inconvenience which appears by taking into consideration the occurrence of many energy scales seems to be normal, but also natural if we are crossing from quantum - to classical regime.

The occurrence of the non - Fermi behavior in this model is also a good reason to use

the HM version of RG for this problem. The only problem which remain open is the value of z in this model, but we expect that the value from the disordered ferromagnet to be more appropriate.

Finally, we mention that we obtained a good agreement with the experimental data [4,5] for the specific heat and we also need an accurate calculation for the magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature. A preliminary calculation showed a strong deviation from normal Pauli behavior, but also from the Curie - like behavior as is expected from self - consistent fluctuation theory [10]. The occurrence of a $\ln T$ - term predicted by experimental results in AQPT is due to the non - Fermi behavior. The accurate analysis of this problem for FQPT is in progress.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank professor A. - M. Tremblay for relevant observations of the physical features of the problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. von Lönheysen, J. Phys. Cond Mat. **8**, 9689 (1996).
- [2] O. Stockert, H. von Lönheysen, A. Rosch, N. Pyka and M. Loewenhampt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5625 (1998).
- [3] A. Schoder, G. Aeppli, E. Bucher, R. Ramazashvili and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5623 (1998).
- [4] M. Lenkewitz, S. Corsepius, G-F. von Blanckenhagen and G. R. Stewart, Phys. Rev. B **55** 6409 (1997).
- [5] M. Nicklas, M. Brando, G. Knebel, F. Mayr, W. Trinke and A. Ladl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4286 (1999).
- [6] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1165 (1976).
- [7] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7183 (1993).
- [8] U. Zülicke and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 8956 (1995).
- [9] S. Doniach and E. P. Wohlfart, Proc. R. Soc. London **296**, 442 (1967).
- [10] T. Moriya, Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism, Springer-Verlach Berlin 1985.
- [11] D. Belitz and T. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 955 (1991).
- [12] T. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. **45**, 3187 (1992); Phys. Rev. B **53**, 14364 (1996).
- [13] T. Vojta, D. Belitz, T. Kirkpatrick and R. Narayanan, Z. Phys. B **103**, 451 (1997).
- [14] T. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 952 (2000).
- [15] S. Sachdev "Quantum Phase Transitions", Cambridge University Press, England, 1999.